
14 Water Resources: Agricultural and Environmental Issues

*David Pimentel, Bonnie Berger, David Filiberto,
Michelle Newton, Benjamin Wolfe, Elizabeth
Karabinakis, Steven Clark, Elaine Poon,
Elizabeth Abbett, and Sudha Nandagopal*

Water is essential for maintaining an adequate food supply and a productive environment for the human population, plants, animals, and microbes on the Earth. Per capita food supplies (cereal grains) have been decreasing for nearly 20 years (declined 17%), in part because of shortages of freshwater, cropland, and the concurrent increase in human numbers (FAO, 1961–2002). Shortages in food supplies have in part contributed to more than 3 billion malnourished people in the world (WHO, 2004a). Two of the most serious malnutrition problems include iron deficiency affecting 2 billion people and protein/calorie deficiencies affecting nearly 800 million people (WHO, 2002, 2004b). The iron deficiency and protein/calorie deficiency each result in about 0.8 million deaths each year (WHO, 2002). Humans obtain all their nutrients from crops and livestock and these nutrient sources require water, land, and energy for production (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Consider that the world population currently numbers 6.3 billion with over a quarter million people added each day (PRB, 2003). The UN (2001) estimates that approximately 9.4 billion people will be present by 2050. In addition, freshwater demand worldwide has been increasing rapidly as population and economies grow (Hinrichsen et al., 1998; Postel, 1999; Rosengrant et al., 2002; Shiklomanov and Rodda, 2003; UNEP, 2003a; Gleick, 2004). Population growth, accompanied by increased water use, will not only severely reduce water availability per person, but will stress all biodiversity in the entire global ecosystem (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Major factors influence water availability including rainfall, temperature, evaporation rates, soil quality, vegetation type, as well as water runoff. Furthermore, serious difficulties already exist in allocating the world's freshwater resources fairly between and within countries. These conflicts are escalating among new industrial, agricultural, and urban sectors. Overall, water shortages severely reduce biodiversity in both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, while water pollution facilitates the spread of serious human diseases and diminishes water quality (Postel et al., 1996, 2004).

In this chapter, water utilization by individuals and especially agricultural systems is analyzed. Interrelationships that exist among population growth, water

use and distribution, the status of biodiversity, the natural environment, plus the impacts of water borne human diseases are reported.

WATER RESOURCES

HYDROLOGIC CYCLE

Of the estimated 1.4×10^{18} m³ of water on the Earth, more than 97% is in the oceans (Shiklomanov and Rodda, 2003). Approximately 35×10^{15} m³ of the Earth's water is freshwater, of which about 0.3% is held in rivers, lakes, and reservoirs (Shiklomanov and Rodda, 2003). The remainder of freshwater is stored in glaciers, permanent snow, and groundwater aquifers. The Earth's atmosphere contains about 13×10^{12} m³ of water, and is the source of all the rain that falls on Earth (Shiklomanov and Rodda, 2003). Yearly, about 151,000 quads (quad = 10^{15} BTU) of solar energy cause evaporation and move about 577×10^{12} m³ of water from the Earth's surface into the atmosphere. Of this evaporation, 86% is from the oceans (Shiklomanov, 1993). Although only 14% of the water evaporation is from land, about 20% (115×10^{12} m³ per year) of the world's precipitation falls on land with the surplus water returning to the oceans via rivers (Shiklomanov, 1993). Thus, each year, solar energy transfers a significant portion of water from the oceans to land areas. This aspect of the hydrologic cycle is vital not only to agriculture but also to human life and natural ecosystems (Pimentel et al., 2004).

AVAILABILITY OF WATER

Although water is considered a renewable resource because it is replenished by rainfall, its availability is finite in terms of the amount available per unit time in any one region. The average precipitation for most continents is about 700 mm/year (7 million L/ha/year), but varies among and within them (Shiklomanov and Rodda, 2003). In general, a nation is considered water scarce when the availability of water drops below 1,000,000 L/capita/year (Engelman and Le Roy, 1993) (Table 14.1). Thus Africa, despite having an average of 640 mm/year of rainfall, is relatively arid as its high temperatures and winds foster rapid evaporation (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Regions that receive low rainfall (less than 500 mm/year) experience serious water shortages and inadequate crop yields. For example, 9 of the 14 Middle Eastern countries (including Egypt, Jordan, Israel, Syria, Iraq, Iran, and Saudi Arabia) have insufficient freshwater (Myers and Kent, 2001; UNEP, 2003a) (Table 14.1).

Substantial withdrawals from lakes, rivers, groundwater, and reservoirs that are used to meet the needs of individuals, cities, farms, and industries already stresses the availability of water in some parts of the United States (Alley et al., 1999). When managing water resources, the total agricultural, societal, and environmental system must be considered. Legislation is sometimes required to ensure a fair allocation of water. For example, laws determine the amount of water that must be left in the Pecos River in New Mexico to ensure sufficient water flows into Texas (Pimentel et al., 2004).

TABLE 14.1

Regions of the World with Water Problems (Based on the Criterion That Yearly Water Availability per Capita Is Less Than 1,000,000 L/year) and Their per Capita Water Availability (Falkenmark and Lindh, 1993)

Region	Water Availability per Capita 1000 L/year
Egypt	40
West Bank	126
Jordan	255
Saudi Arabia	300
Israel	376
Syria	440
Kenya	610
United States (comparison)	1862

Source: *BioScience* 54(10), 909–918. With permission. Copyright American Institute of Biological Sciences.

GROUNDWATER RESOURCES

Approximately 30% ($11 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^3$) of all freshwater on Earth is stored as groundwater. The amount of water held as groundwater is more than 100 times the amount collected in rivers and lakes (Shiklomanov and Rodda, 2003). Most groundwater has accumulated over millions of years in vast aquifers located below the surface of the Earth. Aquifers are replenished slowly by rainfall, with an average recharge rate that ranges from 0.1% to 3% per year (Pimentel et al., 2004). Assuming an average of 1% recharge rate, only $110 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^3$ of water per year is available for sustainable use worldwide. At present, world groundwater aquifers provide approximately 23% of all water used throughout the world (USGS, 2003a). Irrigation for U.S. agriculture relies heavily upon groundwater, with 65% of irrigation water being pumped from aquifers (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Population growth, increased irrigated agriculture, and other water uses are mining groundwater resources. Specifically, the uncontrolled rate of water withdrawal from aquifers is significantly faster than the natural rate of recharge, causing water tables to fall by more than 30 m in some U.S. regions from 1950 to 1990 (Brown, 2002). The overdraft of global groundwater is estimated to be about $200 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3$ or nearly twice the average recharge rate (Pimentel et al., 2004).

For example, the capacity of the U.S. Ogallala aquifer, which underlies parts of Nebraska, South Dakota, Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Texas, has decreased 33% since about 1950. Withdrawal from the Ogalla is three times faster than its recharge rate (Gleick et al., 2002). Aquifers are being withdrawn more than 10 times faster than the recharge rate of aquifers in parts of Arizona (Gleick et al., 2002).

Similar problems exist throughout the world. For example, in the agriculturally productive Chenaran Plain in northeastern Iran, the water table has been declining by 2.8 m/year since the late 1990s (Brown, 2002). Withdrawal in Guanajuato, Mexico, has caused the water table to fall by as much as 3.3 m per year (Brown, 2002). The rapid depletion of groundwater poses a serious threat to water supplies in world agricultural regions especially for irrigation. Furthermore, when some aquifers are mined, the surface soil area is prone to sink, resulting in the inability of an aquifer to be refilled (Pimentel et al., 2004).

STORED WATER RESOURCES

In the United States, many dams were built during the early twentieth century in arid regions in an effort to increase the available quantities of water. Although the era of constructing large dams and associated conveyance systems to meet water demand has slowed down in the United States (Pimentel et al., 2004), dam construction continues in many developing countries worldwide.

Given that the expected life of a dam is 50 years, 85% of U.S. dams will be more than 50 years old by 2020 (Pimentel et al., 2004). Prospects for the construction of new dams in the United States do not appear encouraging. Over time, the capacity of all dams is reduced as silt accumulates behind them. Estimates are that 1% of the storage capacity of the world's dams is lost due to silt each year (Pimentel et al., 2004).

WATER USE

Water from different resources is withdrawn both for *use* and *consumption* in diverse human activities. The term *use* refers to all human activities for which some of the withdrawn water is returned for reuse, for example, cooking water, wash water, and waste water. In contrast, *consumption* means that the withdrawn water is nonrecoverable. For example, evapotranspiration of water from plants is released into the atmosphere and is considered nonrecoverable.

HUMAN WATER USE

The water content of living organisms ranges from 60% to 95%; humans are about 60% water (Pimentel et al., 2004). To sustain health, humans should drink from 1.5 to 2.5 L of water/person/day. In addition to drinking water, Americans use about 400 L water/person/day for cooking, washing, disposing of wastes, and other personal uses (USBC, 2003). In contrast, 83 other countries report an average below 100 liters/person/day of water for personal use (Gleick et al., 2002).

Currently the U.S. freshwater withdrawals, including that for irrigation, total about 1600 billion L/day or about 5700 L of water/person/day. Of this amount about 80% comes from surface water and 20% is withdrawn from groundwater resources (USBC, 2003). Worldwide, the average withdrawal is 1970 L/person/day for all purposes (Gleick et al., 2002). Approximately 70% of the water withdrawn is consumed and is nonrecoverable worldwide.

AGRICULTURE AND WATER

WATER IN CROP PRODUCTION

Plants require water for photosynthesis, growth, and reproduction. Water used by plants is nonrecoverable, because some water becomes a part of the plant chemically and the remainder is released into the atmosphere. The processes of carbon dioxide fixation and temperature control require plants to transpire enormous amounts of water. Various crops transpire water at rates between 600 and 2000 L of water per kilogram of dry matter of crops produced (Table 14.2). The average global transfer of water into the atmosphere from the terrestrial ecosystems by vegetation transpiration is estimated to be about 64% of all precipitation that falls to Earth (Pimentel et al., 2004).

The minimum soil moisture essential for crop growth varies. For instance, U.S. potatoes require 25%–50%, alfalfa 30%–50%, and corn 50%–70% (Pimentel et al., 2004), while rice in China is reported to require at least 80% soil moisture (Pimentel et al., 2004). Rainfall patterns, temperature, vegetative cover, high levels of soil organic matter, active soil biota, and water runoff all affect the percolation of rainfall into the soil where it will be used by plants.

The water required by food and forage crops ranges from 600 to 3000 L of water per kilogram (dry) of crop yield (Table 14.2). For instance, a hectare of U.S. corn, with a yield of approximately 9000 kg/ha, transpires about 6 million L/ha of water during the growing season (Pimentel et al., 2004), while an additional

TABLE 14.2
Estimated Amount of Water Required to Produce
Crops and Livestock (Pimentel et al., 2004)

Crop and Livestock	L/kg
Soybeans	2,000
Rice	1,600
Sorghum	1,300
Alfalfa	1,100
Wheat	900
Corn	650
Potatoes (dry)	630
Millet	272
Broiler chicken	3,500
Pig	6,000
Beef	43,000
Sheep	51,000

Source: *BioScience* 54(10), 909–918. With permission. Copyright American Institute of Biological Sciences.

1–2.5 million L/ha of soil moisture evaporate into the atmosphere (Pimentel et al., 2004). This means that about 800 mm (8 million L/ha) of rainfall are required during the growing season for corn production. Even with 800–1000 mm of annual rainfall in the U.S. Corn-Belt region, corn frequently suffers from insufficient water during the critical summer growing period (Pimentel et al., 2004).

A hectare of high yielding rice requires approximately 11 million L/ha of water for an average yield of 7 t/ha (metric tons per hectare) (Pimentel et al., 2004). On average, soybeans require about 5.8 million L/ha of water for a yield of 3 t/ha (Pimentel et al., 2004). In contrast, wheat that produces less plant biomass than either corn or rice requires only about 2.4 million L/ha of water for a yield of 2.7 t/ha (Table 14.2). Note, under semi-arid conditions, yields of nonirrigated crops, such as corn, are low (1–2.5 t/ha) even when ample amounts of fertilizers are applied (Pimentel et al., 2004).

IRRIGATED CROPS AND LAND USE

World agriculture consumes approximately 70% of freshwater withdrawn per year (UNESCO, 2001a). Approximately 17% of the world's cropland is irrigated but produces 40% of the world's food (FAO, 2002). Worldwide, the amount of irrigated land is slowly expanding, even though salinization, water logging, and siltation continue to decrease its productivity (Gleick, 2002). Despite a small annual increase in total irrigated areas, the per capita irrigated area has been declining since 1990, due to rapid population growth (Postel, 1999; Gleick, 2002). Specifically, global irrigation per capita has declined nearly 10% during the past decade (Postel, 1999; Gleick, 2002), while in the United States irrigated land per capita has remained constant at about 0.08 ha (USDA, 2003).

Irrigated U.S. agricultural production accounts for about 40% of freshwater withdrawn (USGS, 2003b), and more than 80% of the water consumed (Pimentel et al., 2004). California agriculture accounts for 3% of the state's economic production, but consumes 85% of the water withdrawn (Myers and Kent, 2001).

ENERGY USE IN IRRIGATION

Irrigation requires a significant expenditure of fossil energy both for pumping and delivering water to crops. Annually in the United States, we estimate 15% of the total energy expended for all crop production is used to pump irrigation water (Pimentel et al., 2004). Overall the amount of energy consumed in irrigated crop production is substantially greater than that expended for rainfed crops. For example, irrigated wheat requires the expenditure of three times more energy than rainfed wheat. Specifically, about 4.2 million kcal/ha/year is the required energy input for rainfed wheat, while irrigated wheat requires 14.3 million kcal/ha/year to apply an average of 5.5 million L of water (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Delivering the 10 million L of irrigation water needed by a hectare of irrigated corn from surface water sources requires the expenditure of about 880 kWh/ha of fossil fuel (Batty and Keller, 1980). In contrast, when irrigation water must be pumped from a depth of 100 m, the energy cost increases up to 28,500 kWh/ha, or more than 32 times the cost of surface water (Gleick, 1993).

The costs of irrigation for energy and capital are significant. The average cost to develop irrigated land ranges from \$3800/ha to \$7700/ha (Postel, 1999). Thus, farmers must not only evaluate the dollar cost of developing irrigated land, but must also consider the annual costs of irrigation pumping. For example, delivering 7–10 million L/ha of water costs around \$750–\$1000 (Pimentel et al., 2004). About 150,000 ha of agricultural land have already been abandoned in the United States due to high pumping costs (Pimentel et al., 2004).

The large quantities of energy required to pump irrigation water are significant considerations both from the standpoint of energy and water resource management. For example, approximately 8 million kcal of fossil energy are expended for machinery, fuel, fertilizers, pesticides, and partial (15%) irrigation, to produce 1 ha of rainfed U.S. corn (Pimentel et al., 2004). In contrast, if the corn crop were fully irrigated, the total energy inputs would rise to nearly 25 million kcal/ha (2500 L of oil equivalents) (Pimentel et al., 2004). In the future, this energy dependency will not only influence the overall economics of irrigated crops but also the selection of specific crops worth irrigating (Pimentel et al., 2004) (Table 14.2). While a low value crop, like alfalfa, may be uneconomical, other crops might use less water plus have a higher market value (Table 14.2).

The efficiency varies with irrigation technologies (Pimentel et al., 2004). The most common irrigation methods, flood irrigation and sprinkler irrigation, frequently waste water. In contrast, the use of more focused application methods, such as “drip” or “microirrigation,” have found favor because of their increased water efficiency. Drip irrigation delivers water to individual plants by plastic tubes and uses from 30% to 50% less water than surface irrigation. In addition to conserving water, drip irrigation reduces the problems of salinization and waterlogging (Tuijl, 1993). Although drip systems achieve up to 95% water efficiency, they are expensive, may be energy intensive, and require clean water to prevent the clogging of the fine delivery tubes (Pimentel et al., 2004).

SOIL SALINIZATION AND WATERLOGGING IN IRRIGATION

With rainfed crops, salinization is not a problem because the salts are naturally flushed away. But when irrigation water is applied to crops and returns to the atmosphere via plant transpiration and evaporation, dissolved salts concentrate in the soil where they inhibit plant growth. The practice of applying about 10 million L of irrigation water per hectare each year results in approximately 5 t/ha of salts being added to the soil (Bouwer, 2002). The salt deposits can be flushed away with added fresh water but at a significant cost (Bouwer, 2002). Worldwide, approximately half of all existing irrigated soils are adversely affected by salinization (Hinrichsen et al., 1998). Each year the amount of world agricultural land destroyed by salinized soil is estimated to be 10 million ha (Pimentel et al., 2004).

In addition, drainage water from irrigated cropland contains large quantities of salt. For instance, as the Colorado River flows through Grand Valley, Colorado, it picks up 580,000 tons of salts per year (USDI, 2001). Based on the drainage area of 20,000 ha, the water returned to the Colorado River contains an estimated 30 t/ha of salts per year (Pugh, 2001). In Arizona, the Salt River and Colorado River deliver a total of 1.6 million tons of salt into south-central Arizona each year (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Waterlogging is another problem associated with irrigation. Over time, seepage from irrigation canals and irrigated fields cause water to accumulate in the upper soil levels. Due to water losses during pumping and transport, approximately 60% of the water intended for crop irrigation never reaches the crop (Wallace, 2000). In the absence of adequate drainage, water tables rise in the upper soil levels, including the plant root zone, and crop growth is impaired. Such irrigated fields are sometimes referred to as “wet deserts” because they are rendered unproductive (Pimentel et al., 2004). For example, in India, waterlogging adversely affects 8.5 million ha of cropland and results in the loss of as much as 2 million tons of grain every year (Pimentel et al., 2004). To prevent both salinization and waterlogging, sufficient water along with adequate soil drainage must be available to ensure salts and excess water are drained from the soil.

WATER RUNOFF AND SOIL EROSION

As more than 99% of world food supply comes from the land, the adequacy of this supply depends on the continued availability of productive soils (FAO, 1998). Erosion adversely affects crop productivity by reducing the availability of water, diminishing soil nutrients, soil biota, and soil organic matter, and also decreasing soil depth (Pimentel et al., 2004). The reduction in the amount of water available to the growing plants is considered the most harmful effect of erosion, because eroded soil absorbs 87% less water by infiltration than uneroded soils (Guenette, 2001). Soybean and oat plantings intercept approximately 10% of the rainfall, whereas tree canopies intercept 15%–35% (Pimentel et al., 2004). Thus, the removal of trees increases water runoff and reduces water availability.

A water runoff rate of about 30% of total rainfall of 800 mm/year causes significant water shortages for growing crops, like corn, and ultimately lowering crop yields (Pimentel et al., 2004). In addition, water runoff, which carries sediments, nutrients, and pesticides from agricultural fields, into surface and ground waters, is the leading cause of nonpoint source pollution in the United States (EPA, 2002). Thus, soil erosion is a self-degrading cycle on agricultural land. As erosion removes topsoil and organic matter, water runoff is intensified and crop yields decrease. The cycle is repeated again with even greater intensity during subsequent rains.

Increasing soil organic matter by applying manure or similar materials can improve the water infiltration rate by as much as 150% (Guenette, 2001). In addition, using vegetative cover, such as intercropping and grass strips, helps slow both water runoff and erosion (Lal, 1993). For example, when silage corn is interplanted with red clover, water runoff can be reduced by as much as 87% and soil loss can be reduced by 78% (Pimentel et al., 2004). Reducing water runoff in these and other ways is an important step in increasing water availability to crops, conserving water resources, decreasing nonpoint source pollution, and ultimately decreasing water shortages (NGS, 1995).

Planting trees to serve as shelter belts between fields reduces evapotranspiration from the crop ecosystem by up to 20% during the growing season, thereby reducing nonpoint source pollution (Pimentel et al., 2004), and increases some crop yields, such as potatoes and peanuts (Snell, 1997). If soil and water conservation measures are not implemented, the loss of water for crops via soil erosion can amount to as much as 5 million L/ha/year (Pimentel et al., 2004).

WATER USE IN LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION

The production of animal protein requires significantly more water than the production of plant protein (Pimentel et al., 2004). Although U.S. livestock directly use only 2% of the total water used in agriculture (Solley et al., 1998), the water inputs for livestock production are substantial because water is required for the forage and grain crops.

Each year, a total of 253 million tons of grain are fed to U.S. livestock requiring a total of about 250×10^{12} L of water (Pimentel et al., 2004). Worldwide grain production specifically for livestock requires nearly three times the amount of grain that is fed to U.S. livestock and three times the amount of water used in the United States to produce the grain feed (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Animal products vary in the amounts of water required for their production (Table 14.2). For example, to produce 1 kg of chicken one requires 3500 L of water while to produce 1 kg of sheep one requires approximately 51,000 L of water to produce the required 21 kg of grain and 30 kg of forage to feed these animals (USDA, 2003; Pimentel et al., 2004) (Table 14.2). For open rangeland (instead of confined feedlot production), from 120 kg to 200 kg of forage are required to produce 1 kg of beef. This amount of forage requires 120,000–200,000 L of water per kilogram of beef (Pimentel et al., 2004). Beef cattle can be produced on rangeland, but a minimum of 200 mm per year of rainfall is needed (Pimentel et al., 2004).

U.S. agricultural production is projected to expand to meet the increased food needs of a U.S. population that is projected to double in the next 70 years (USBC, 2003). The food situation is expected to be more serious in developing countries, such as Egypt and Kenya, because of rapidly growing populations (Rosengrant et al., 2002). Increasing crop yields necessitates a parallel increase in freshwater utilization in agriculture. Therefore, increased crop and livestock production during the next five to seven decades will significantly increase the demand on all water resources, especially in the western, southern, and central United States (USDA, 2003), as well as in many regions of the world with low rainfall.

WATER POLLUTION AND HUMAN DISEASES

Closely associated with the overall availability of water resources is the problem of water pollution and human diseases. At present, approximately 20% of the world's population lacks safe drinking water, and nearly half the world population lacks adequate sanitation (GEF, 2002; UN, 2002). This problem is acute in many developing countries that discharge an estimated 95% of their untreated urban sewage directly into surface waters (Pimentel et al., 2004). For example, of India's 3119 towns and cities, only 8 have full wastewater treatment facilities (WHO, 1992). Downstream, the untreated water is used for drinking, bathing, and washing, resulting in serious human infections and illnesses.

Overall, waterborne infections account for 90% of all human infectious diseases in developing countries (Pimentel et al., 2004). Lack of sanitary conditions contributes to approximately 12 million deaths each year, primarily among infants and young children (Hinrichsen et al., 1998).

Approximately 40% of U.S. freshwater is deemed unfit for recreational or drinking water uses because of contamination with dangerous microorganisms, pesticides, and fertilizers (UNESCO, 2001b). In the United States, waterborne infections account for approximately 940,000 infections and approximately 900 deaths each year (Pimentel et al., 2004). In recent decades, more U.S. livestock production systems have moved closer to urban areas, causing water and foods to be contaminated with manure (NAS, 2003). In the United States, the quantity of livestock manure and other wastes produced each year are estimated to be 1.5 billion tons (Pimentel et al., 2004). Associated with this kind of contamination, the Centers for Disease Control reports that more than 76 million Americans are infected each year with pathogenic *E. coli* and related foodborne pathogens, resulting in about 5000 deaths per year (DeWaal et al., 2000).

The incidence of schistosomiasis, which is also associated with contaminated freshwater, is expanding worldwide and each year infects more than 200 million people and currently causes an estimated 20,000 deaths per year (Hinrichsen et al., 1998). Its spread is associated with an increase in habitats, including the construction of dams and irrigation canals suitable for the snail intermediate-host population and accessible for humans to come in contact with the infected water (Shiklomanov, 1993). For example, construction of the Aswan High Dam in Egypt and related irrigation systems in 1968 led to an explosion of *Schistosoma mansoni* in the human population; increasing from 5% in 1968 to 77% of all Egyptians in 1993 (Shiklomanov, 1993). In 1986, the construction of a dam in Senegal resulted in an increase in schistosomiasis from 0% in 1986 to 90% by 1994 (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Mosquito-borne malaria is also associated with water bodies. Worldwide this disease presently infects more than 2.4 billion people (Pimentel et al., 2004) and kills about 2.7 million each year (Pimentel et al., 2004). Environmental changes, including polluted water, have fostered this high incidence and increase in malaria. For instance, deforestation in parts of Africa exposes land to sunlight and promotes the development of temporary pools of water that favor the breeding of human-biting, malaria-transmitting mosquitoes, *Anopheles gambiae* (Pimentel et al., 2004). In addition, with many African populations doubling every 20 years (PRB, 2003), more people are living in close proximity to mosquito-infested aquatic ecosystems. Concurrently, the mosquito vectors are evolving resistance to insecticides that pollute their aquatic ecosystems, while protozoan pathogens are evolving resistance to the over-used antimalarial drugs. Together these factors are reducing the effectiveness of many malaria control efforts (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Another serious waterborne infectious disease that can be transmitted via air, water, and food is tuberculosis (TB). At present, approximately 2 billion people are infected with TB with the number increasing each year (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Presently, about 2 billion people worldwide are infected with one or more helminth species, either by direct penetration or by use of contaminated water or food (Hotez et al., 1996). In locations where sanitation is poor and overcrowding is rampant, as in parts of urban Africa, up to 90% of the population may be infected with one or more helminthes (Pimentel et al., 2004).

In addition to helminthes and microbe pathogens, there are many chemicals that contaminate water and have negative impacts on human health as well as natural biota.

For example, an estimated 3 billion kg of pesticides are applied worldwide each year in agriculture (Pimentel et al., 2004). USEPA also allowed the application of sludge to agricultural land and this sludge is contaminated with heavy metals and other toxics (Pimentel et al., 2004). Many of these agricultural chemicals, including nitrogen fertilizer, contaminate aquatic ecosystems by leaching and runoff and result in eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems and other environmental problems (Howarth, 2003). Pesticides alone contribute to an estimated 26 million human poisonings and 220,000 deaths each year worldwide (Richter, 2002).

LIMITS TO WATER USE

COSTS OF WATER TREATMENT

Increases in pollution of surface and groundwater resources not only pose a threat to public and environmental health, but also contribute to the high costs of water treatment, thus further limiting the availability of water for use. Depending on water quality and the purification treatments used, potable water costs an average of 50¢/1000 L in the United States and range up to \$1.91/1000 L in Germany (UNESCO, 2001c). Appropriate water pricing is important for improved water demand and conservation of water (UNESCO, 2001d; Pimentel et al., 2004).

The cost of treating U.S. sewage for release into streams and lakes ranges from 55¢/1000 L for small plants to 30¢/1000 L for large plants (Gleick, 2000). Sewage effluent, when properly treated to make it safe for use as potable water, is relatively expensive and ranges in costs from \$1.00 to \$2.65/1000 L (Gleick, 2000).

Purifying and reducing the number of polluting microbes in water, as measured by the BOD (biological oxygen demand), is energy costly. Removing 1 kg of BOD requires 1 kWh (Pimentel et al., 2004). In this process, most of the cost for pumping and delivering water is for energy and equipment. Delivering 1 m³ (1000 L) of water in the United States requires the expenditure of about 1.3 kWh. Excluding only the energy for pumping sewage, the cost and amount of energy required to process 1000 L of sewage in a technologically advanced wastewater treatment plant is about 65¢ and requires about 0.44 kWh of energy (Pimentel et al., 2004). Looking to the future, the costs of water treatment and the energy required to purify water will increase.

Dependence on the oceans for freshwater has major problems. When brackish water is desalinated, the energy costs are high, ranging from 25¢ to 60¢/1000 L, while seawater desalination ranges from 75¢ to \$3/1000 L (Buros, 2000). In addition, transporting large volumes of desalinated water adds to the costs.

ECONOMIC COSTS OF WATER SUBSIDIES

The relatively high cost of treating and delivering water has led many world governments to subsidize water for agriculture and household use. For example, some U.S. farmers pay as little as 1–5¢/1000 L they use in irrigation, while the public pays from 30¢ to 80¢ per 1000 L of treated water for personal use (Gleick, 2000). Farmers in the Imperial Irrigation District of California pay \$15.50 in delivery fees for 1.2 million L of water (Murphy, 2003). Some investigators suggest that if U.S.

farmers paid the full cost of water, they would have to conserve and manage irrigation water more effectively (Pimentel et al., 2004).

The construction cost subsidy for federally subsidized western U.S. irrigated cropland amounts to about \$5000 per hectare (Pimentel et al., 2004), and represents an annual construction cost subsidy of about \$440 per ha/year over the life of the project (Pimentel et al., 2004). The total annual government subsidy is estimated to range from \$2.5 billion to \$4.4 billion for the 4.5 million ha of irrigated land in the western United States (Myers and Kent, 2001; VanBeers and deMoor, 2001). Worldwide, from 1994 to 1998 governmental water subsidies totaled \$45 billion per year for non-OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) countries and \$15 billion for OECD countries (VanBeers and deMoor, 2001). During the same period, agricultural subsidies per year total \$65 billion for non-OECD and \$355 billion for OECD countries (VanBeers and deMoor, 2001).

According to the World Bank (2003), the objectives of fair water pricing are (1) to seek revenue to pay for the operations and maintenance of water availability, (2) improve water-use efficiency, and (3) recover the full costs of water pumping and treatment. However, in general there appear to be problems with some private, for-profit companies operating water systems for communities and regions. Often the companies operate as monopolies that can lead to pricing problems (Schalch, 2003).

If U.S. prices of gasoline and diesel energy increase significantly, it follows that irrigation costs will also escalate from the current approximately \$3 billion per year (Pimentel et al., 2004). As vegetable and fruit crops return more per dollar invested in irrigation water than field crops, farmers may have to reassess the crops they grow. For example, in Israel 1000 L of water from irrigation produces 79¢ worth of groundnuts and 57¢ worth of tomatoes, but only 13¢ worth of corn grain and 12¢ worth of wheat (Pimentel et al., 2004).

LOSS OF BIODIVERSITY

Natural diversity of species is essential to maintaining a productive environment, as well as productive agriculture and forestry. The water required to keep natural ecosystems, especially the plants, functioning has been appropriately termed *green water* (Falkenmark, 1995).

The biodiversity of all species throughout the world is adversely affected when water resources are reduced or polluted. Thus the drastic drainage of more than half of U.S. wetlands (Pimentel et al., 2004) that contain 45% of our federally endangered and threatened species has seriously disrupted these ecosystems (Havera et al., 1997). In 2002, approximately 33,000 salmon perished in the Klamath River when farmers were allowed to withdraw increased volumes of water for irrigation (Pimentel et al., 2004). Pear farmers in the Rogue Valley of Oregon use significant amounts of the water before it reaches the Klamath Lake, leaving only 616 million m³ of water per year for wildlife and other farmers downstream (Fattig, 2001). Similarly, overpumping and upstream removal of water have reduced biodiversity in the Colorado River and the Rio Grande River (Pimentel et al., 2004). The major alteration in the natural water flow in the lower portion of the U.S. Colorado River has been responsible for 45 species of plants and animals to be listed as federally endangered or threatened (Glenn et al., 2001).

EFFECT OF CLIMATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE ON WATER AVAILABILITY

Estimates of water resources and their future availability can only be based on present world climate patterns. The continued loss of forests and other vegetation, and the accumulation of carbon dioxide, methane gas, and nitrous oxides in the atmosphere, are projected to lead to global climate change. Over time, such changes may alter present precipitation and temperature patterns throughout the world (Downing and Parry, 1994; IPCC, 2002). With major shifts in water availability, future agricultural, forestry, biodiversity, and diverse human activities will be impacted.

For example, if, as projected, California experiences a 50% decrease in mountain snowpack due to global warming (Knowles and Cayan, 2003), this would change both the timing and intensity of seasonal surface water flow (Pimentel et al., 2004). In contrast, Canada might benefit from warming with extended growing seasons, but even this region eventually could face water shortages (Parry and Carter, 1989; IPCC, 2002). If, as projected, the annual temperatures in the U.S. Corn-Belt rise 3°C–4°C, rainfall might decline by about 10% (Myers and Kent, 2001), and evaporation rates from the soil may increase and limit corn production in the future (Pimentel et al., 2004).

The predicted global warming, along with increased human food requirements, can be expected to alter and probably increase world irrigation needs by 30% to ensure food security (Doll, 2002). Other serious impacts of global warming could increase deforestation, desertification, soil erosion, and loss of biodiversity. All of these major changes suggest the reduction in the availability of water for humans, for all other living organisms, and also for crop and forest production (Root et al., 2003).

CONFLICTS OVER WATER USE

The rapid rise in withdrawal of freshwater for agricultural irrigation and for other uses that have accompanied population growth has spurred serious conflicts over water resources both within and between countries (FAO, 2000). In part, the conflicts over fresh water are due to the sharing of freshwater by countries and regions. Currently, there are 263 transboundary river basins sharing water resources (UNESCO, 2001d). Worldwide such conflicts have increased from an average of 5 per year in the 1980s to 22 in 2000 (GEF, 2002). In 23 countries where data are available, conflicts related to agricultural use of water cost an estimated \$55 billion between 1990 and 1997 (GEF, 2002).

At least 20 nations obtain more than half their water from rivers that cross national boundaries (Gleick, 1993), and 14 countries receive 70% or more of their surface water resources from rivers that are outside their borders (Alavian, 2003; Cech, 2003). For example, Egypt obtains 97% of its freshwater from the Nile River (Alavian, 2003), the second longest in the world, which is also shared by 10 other countries (Alavian, 2003). Indeed, the Nile River is so overused that during parts of the year little or no freshwater reaches the Mediterranean Sea (Pimentel et al., 2004).

Historically, the Middle East region has had the most conflicts over water, largely because it has less available water per capita than most other regions, and every major river crosses international borders (Gleick et al., 2002). Furthermore,

the human populations in these countries are increasing rapidly, some having doubled in the last 20–25 years, placing additional stress on the difficult political climate (PRB, 2003).

The distribution of river water also creates conflicts between several U.S. states as well as problems between the United States and Mexico. California, Nevada, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, Arizona, and Mexico all depend on Colorado River water. In a normal year, little water reaches Mexico, and little or no water reaches the Gulf of California (Postel et al., 1996; Gleick, 2000).

CONSERVING WATER RESOURCES

Conserving world water must be a priority of individuals, communities, and countries. An important approach is to find ways to facilitate the percolation of rainfall into the soil instead of allowing it to run off into streams and rivers. For example, the increased use of trees and shrubs make it possible to catch and slow water runoff by 10%–20%, thereby conserving water before it reaches streams, rivers, and lakes (Pimentel et al., 2004). This approach also reduces flooding.

Maintaining crop, livestock, and forest production requires conserving all water resources available, including rainfall. Some practical strategies that support water conservation for crop production include (1) monitoring soil water content; (2) adjusting water application needs to specific crops; (3) applying organic mulches to prevent water loss and improve water percolation, through reduced water runoff and evaporation; (4) using crop rotations that reduce water runoff; (5) preventing the removal of biomass from land; (6) increasing use of trees and shrubs to slow water runoff; and (7) employing precision irrigation in water delivery systems, such as drip irrigation, that will result in efficient crop watering (IRZ, 2002).

In forest areas, it will be necessary to avoid clear cutting and humans should employ sound forest management. Trees also benefit urban areas that have high rates of runoff. As water runoff is rapid from roofs, driveways, roads, and parking lots, the water can be collected in cisterns and constructed ponds. Estimated runoff rates from urban area were 72% higher than areas with forest cover (Boulder, 2002).

Given that many aquifers are being overdrafted, government efforts are needed to limit the pumping to sustainable withdrawal levels or at the known recharge rate. Integrated water resource management programs offer many opportunities to conserve water resources for everyone, farmers and the public.

USING WATER WISELY IN THE FUTURE

Providing adequate quantities of pure freshwater for humans and their diverse activities appears to be a major problem worldwide. If further competition for water resources within regions and between countries continues to escalate, and remain unresolved, this too will have negative impacts on essential freshwater supplies for personal and agricultural use. Even now, freshwater resources for food production and other human needs are declining because of increasing demand (UNEP, 2003b; Gleick, 2004) and becoming outright scarce in arid regions. Particularly in

arid regions, where groundwater resources are the primary sources of water, future irrigation, industrial, and urban water use must be carefully managed to prevent exhausting the aquifers.

Priorities for using water wisely are as follows:

- Because agriculture consumes 70% of world's freshwater, farmers should be the prime target for incentives to conserve water.
- Implement water conserving irrigation practices, such as drip irrigation, to reduce water waste.
- Implement water/soil conservation practices, such as cover crops and crop rotations, to minimize rapid water runoff related to soil erosion.
- Reduce and eliminate water subsidies that encourage the wasteful use of water by farmers and others.
- Implement World Bank (2003) policies for the fair pricing of freshwater.
- Protect forests, wetlands, and natural ecosystems to enhance the conservation of water.
- Control water pollution to protect public health, agriculture, and the environment.

REFERENCES

- Alavian, V. 2003. Shared waters: Catalyst for cooperation. http://www.uwin.siu.edu/ucowr/updates/pdf/V115_A2.pdf (1/20/2003).
- Alley, W.M., T.E. Reilly, and O.L. Franke. 1999. Sustainability of ground-water resources. Denver, CO: United States Geologic Survey. Report 1186.
- Batty, J.C. and J. Keller. 1980. Energy requirements for irrigation. In D. Pimentel (ed.), *Handbook of Energy Utilization in Agriculture*, pp. 35–44. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Boulder. 2002. Boulder's urban forest benefits stormwater runoff. http://www.ci.boulder.co.us/publicworks/depts/utilities/conservation/chapter_one.pdf (2/8/2003).
- Bouwer, H. 2002. Integrated water management for the 21st century: Problems and solutions. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering* 128(4): 193–202.
- Brown, L.R. 2002. Water deficits growing in many countries: Water shortages may cause food shortages. Washington, D.C.: Earth Policy Institute. <http://www.earth-policy.org/Updates/Update15.htm> (8/6/2004).
- Buros, O.K. 2000. The ABC's of Desalting. International Desalting Association: Topsfield, MA. <http://www.idadesal.org/PDFS/Publications/ABCs.pdf> (2/15/2003).
- Cech TV. 2003. *Principles of Water Resources: History, Development, Management and Policy*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- De Waal, C.S., L. Alderton, and M.J. Jacobson. 2000. Outbreak alert! Closing the gaps in our federal food-safety net. Washington, D.C.: Center for Science in the Public Interest.
- Doll, P. 2002. Impact of climate change and variability on irrigation requirements: A global perspective. *Climate Change* 54: 269–293.
- Downing, T.E. and M.L. Parry. 1994. Introduction: Climate change and world food security. *Food Policy* 19: 99–104.
- Engelman, R. and P. LeRoy. 1993. *Conserving Land: Population and Sustainable Food Production*. Washington, D.C.: Population Action International.
- EPA. 2002. National Management Measures to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution from Agriculture. Washington, D.C.: Office of Wetlands, Oceans, Watersheds, December 12th 2002, Environmental Protection Agency.

- Falkenmark, M. 1995. Land and water linkages: A synopsis. *Proceedings of an Informal FAO Workshop on Land and Water Integration and River Basin Management*; 31 January–2 February 1993. Rome, Italy. FAO Land and Water Bulletin I. pp. 15–17.
- Falkenmark, M. and G. Lindh. 1993. Water and economic development. In P. Gleick (ed.), *Water in Crisis: A Guide to the World's Fresh Water Resources*, pp. 80–91. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- FAO. 1998. Food Balance Sheets. <http://armanncorn:98ivysub@faostat.fao.org/lim...ap.pl?> (9/24/2000).
- FAO. 2000. New dimensions in water security: Society and ecosystem services in the 21st century. J. Lundqvist (ed.) with M. Falkenmark, L. Gordon, C. Folke, and L. Ohlsson. FAO, *Land and Water Development Division*. Rome, Italy. <ftp://ftp.fao.org/agl/aglw/docs/misc25.pdf> (1/31/2003).
- FAO. 1961–2002. World Grain Production. 1961–2002. Food and Agriculture Organization, United Nations. Quarterly Bulletin of Statistics. Rome, Italy.
- FAO. 2002. Crops and Drops: Making the Best Use of Water for Agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization, United Nations, Rome, Italy. <http://www.fao.org/DOCREP/005/Y3918E/Y3918E00.HTM> (12/8/2002).
- Fattig, P. 2001. Debating a Diversion. <http://www.mailtribune.com/archive/2001/april/042201n1.htm> (12/8/2002).
- GEF. 2002. The Challenge of Sustainability. Global Environment Facility. Washington, D.C. <http://www.gefweb.org/Outreach/outreach-Publications/MainBook.pdf> (2/14/2003).
- Gleick, P.H. 1993. *Water in Crisis*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gleick, P.H. 2000. *The World's Water*. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- Gleick, P.H. 2002. Soft water paths. *Nature* 418(6896): 373.
- Gleick, P.H. 2004. Global freshwater resources: Soft-path solutions for the 21st century. *Science* 302: 1524–1528.
- Gleick, P.H., E.L. Wolff, and R.R. Chalecki. 2002. *The New Economy of Water: The Risks and Benefits of Globalization and Privatization of Freshwater*. Oakland, CA: Pacific Institute for Studies in Development, Environment, and Security. 48 pp.
- Glenn, E.P., A. Zamora-Arroyo, P.L. Nagler, et al. 2001. Ecology and conservation biology of the Colorado River Delta, Mexico. *Journal of Arid Environments* 49: 5–15.
- Guenette, M. 2001. Measurements of Water Erosion and Infiltration in Alberta Using a Rainfall Simulator. <http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/sustain/rainfall2.html> (12/8/2002).
- Havera, S.P., L.B. Suloway, and J.E. Hoffman. 1997. Wetlands in the Midwest with special reference to Illinois. In M.W. Schwartz (ed.), *Conservation in Highly Fragmented Landscapes*, pp. 88–104. New York: Chapman & Hall.
- Hinrichsen, D., B. Robey, and U.D. Upadhyay. 1998. Solutions for a water-short world. Population Reports series M. no. 14. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins School of Public Health, Population Information Program.
- Hotez, P.J., J.M. Hawdon, M. Cappello, et al. 1996. Molecular approaches to vaccinating against hookworm disease. *Pediatric Research* 40: 515–521.
- Howarth, R.W. 2003. The history and current status of eutrophication in freshwater and marine ecosystems. Aquatic Sciences Meeting. <http://www.sgmeet.com/aslo/slc2003/sessionschedule.asp?SessionID=SSI.05> (2/6/2004).
- IPCC. 2002. *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- IRZ. 2002. Water Conservation. <http://www.irz.com/IRZ/pages/conservation.htm> (3/2/2003).
- Knowles, N. and D.R. Cayan. 2003. Potential effects of global warming on the Sacramento/San Joaquin watershed and the San Francisco estuary. *Geophysical Research Letters* 29: 38-1–38-4.
- Lal, R. 1993. Soil erosion and conservation in West Africa. In D. Pimentel (ed.), *World Soil Erosion and Conservation*, pp. 7–26. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Murphy, D.E. 2003. In a First, U.S. Puts Limits on California's Thirst. *The New York Times*. National Sunday, January 5, 2003. p. 1, 16.
- Myers, N. and J. Kent. 2001. *Perverse Subsidies: How Tax Dollars Can Undercut the Environment and the Economy*. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- NAS. 2003. *Frontiers in Agricultural Research: Food, Health, Environment, and Communities*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Science Press.
- NGS. 1995. *Water: A Story of Hope*. Washington, D.C.: National Geographic Society.
- Parry, M.L. and T.R. Carter. 1989. The impact of climate change on agriculture. In I.C. Topping (ed.), *Proceedings Second North American Conference on Preparing for Climate Change*, pp. 180–184. Washington, D.C.: Climate Institute.
- Pimentel, D., B. Berger, D. Filiberto, et al. 2004. Water Resources, Agriculture, and the Environment. Environmental Biology, Report No. 04-1. New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences.
- Postel, S. 1999. *Pillar of Sand: Can the Irrigation Miracle Last?* New York: W.W. Norton.
- Postel, S.L., G.C. Daily, and P.R. Ehrlich. 1996. Human appropriation of renewable fresh water. *Science* 271: 785–787.
- PRB. 2003. *World Population Data Sheet*. Washington, D.C.: Population Reference Bureau.
- Pugh, C.A. 2001. Improving Irrigation Operations to Restore In-Stream Flows. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Water Resources Laboratory, Denver, CO. <http://www.iahr.org/membersonly/grazproceedings99/doc/000/000/192.htm> (2/21/2003).
- Richter, E.D. 2002. Acute human pesticide poisonings. In D. Pimentel (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Pest Management*, pp. 3–6. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Root, T.L., J.T. Price, K.R. Hall, et al. 2003. Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature* 421(2): 57–60.
- Rosengrant, M.K., X. Cai, and S.A. Cline. 2002. *World Water and Food to 2025*. Washington, D.C.: International Food Policy Research Institute.
- Schalch, K. 2003. Providing Safe Drinking Water to Developing Nations. Transcript. http://www.unesco.org/water/wwap/news/national_public_radio.shtml (4/6/2003).
- Shiklomanov, I.A. 1993. World fresh water resources. In P. Gleick (ed.), *Water in Crisis: A Guide to the World's Fresh Water Resources*, pp. 13–24. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Shiklomanov, I.A. and J.C. Rodda. 2003. *World Water Resources at the Beginning of the Twenty-First Century*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Snell, A. 1997. The Effect of Windbreaks on Crop Growth in the Atherton Tablelands of North Queensland. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation. RIROC. <http://www.rirdc.gov.au/pub/shortreps/sr67.html> (3/3/2004).
- Solley, W.B., R.R. Pierce, and H.A. Perlman. 1998. Estimated Use of Water in the United States in 1995. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Geological Survey. Circular 1200.
- Tuijl, W. van. 1993. *Improving Water Use in Agriculture: Experience in the Middle East and North Africa*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- UN. 2001. UN Population Division issues. World Population Prospects: The 2000 Revision. UN Press Release, DEV/2292, POP/791 (27/02/2001).
- UN. 2002. Meeting Basic Needs. UN World Water Development Report. Facts and Figures. United Nations World Water Assessment Programme.
- UNEP. 2003a. Water scarcity in the Middle East-North African Region. <http://www.skmun.freeservers.com/unep/unepres1.htm> (1/20/2003).
- UNEP. 2003b. Global Environment Outlook 3: Past, Present and Future Perspectives. United Nations Environment Programme. London: Earthscan Publications Ltd.
- UNESCO. 2001a. Securing the Food Supply. World Water Assessment Programme. United Nations Education Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris.
- UNESCO. 2001b. Managing Risks. World Water Assessment Programme. United Nations Education Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris.

- UNESCO. 2001c. Valuing Water. World Water Assessment Programme. United Nations Education Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris.
- UNESCO. 2001d. Sharing Water Resources. World Water Assessment Programme. United Nations Education Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris.
- USBC. 2003. Statistical Abstract of the United States. 2003. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Government Printing Office.
- USDA. 2003. Agricultural Statistics. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- USDI. 2001. Quality of Water: Colorado River Basin. Progress Report No. 20. July 2001. U.S. Department of Interior. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office. 92 pp.
- USGS. 2003a. Water use. U.S. Geological Survey. <http://www.ga.usgs.gov/edu/wugw.html> (2/2/2003).
- USGS. 2003b. Water Q & A: Water Use. U.S. Geological Survey. <http://water.usgs.gov/droplet/qausage.html> (2/21/2003).
- VanBeers, C. and A. de Moor. 2001. *Public Subsidies and Policy Failures: How Subsidies Distort the Natural Environment, Equity and Trade, and How to Reform Them*. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar Publisher.
- Wallace, J.S. 2000. Increasing agricultural water use efficiency in meet future food production. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 82(2000): 105–119.
- WHO. 1992. Our Planet, Our Health: Report of the WHO Commission on Health and Environment. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- WHO. 2002. The World Health Report. World Health Organization. <http://www.who.int/whr/2002/chapter4/en/index3.html> (12/13/2003).
- WHO. 2004a. Women's Health in South-East Asia. <http://w3.whosea.org/women2/> (2/6/2004).
- WHO. 2004b. Water Sanitation and Health. World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/entity/water_sanitation_health/diseases/malnutrition/en (2/2/2004).
- World Bank. 2003. International Trends in Water Pricing and Use. [http://wbln0018.worldbank.org/mna/mena.nsf34b224d37365b3f852567ee0068bd93/2421f467c2c02628525695100666e9/\\$FILE/Rivadh-Final.ppt](http://wbln0018.worldbank.org/mna/mena.nsf34b224d37365b3f852567ee0068bd93/2421f467c2c02628525695100666e9/$FILE/Rivadh-Final.ppt) (4/4/2003).